UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,917	06/26/2003	Kyung-Hun Jang	784-51 (SI-19122-US)	8113
	7590 05/21/200 DENDORF LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1901		HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/607,917	JANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	BRANDON S. HOFFMAN	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained af or the purpose of data with the pariod of out the pariod	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c). c).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriat	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origin	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wied AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of a appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying tl	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 224)
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	·	•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊴ will not be efficied, of b) □ will ided below or appended.	be entered and an e.	кріанацон от
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/KIMYEN VU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2135			
ouporvisory r atent Examiner, Art Office 100			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly amended language of claim 1 requires a wireless station to request an access point to perform initial authentication and the wireless station obtaining differentiated encryption keys in advance when the initial authentication of the wireless station is performed. To summarize, when the wireless station is initially authenticated by the access point, the wireless station obtains differentiated keys in advance. Cohen teaches this by delivering a plurality of keys to a wireless station in a first time period T0-T1. These keys are used for authentication and are differentiated in that they use indexes for rolling keys so one key can be updated to make the next key the transmit key. The language of claim 2 distinguishes how the plurality of keys are differentiated into separate classes.